TABLE OF CONTENTS

CH	APTE	R 1:	INTRODUCTION TO DAMAGES	1
I.	GEN	NERAL	DISCUSSION OF DAMAGES	3
	A.	DAN	MAGES PURSUANT TO THE ADEA	4
	В.	EEO	C REGULATIONS CODIFY REMEDIES AND RELIEF	5
	C.	EEO	C REQUIRES AGENCIES TO CONSIDER DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST EMPLOYEES WHO	
			AGE IN DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES	7
II.			DANCE TO ITS ATTORNEYS FOR DISCUSSING CLAIMS OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES WITH	
			LLITIGANTS	
III.			THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1991: WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS FOR OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	
CH			TITLE VII EQUITABLE DAMAGES	
l.				
II.			EMPLOYER'S RECORDS OF NEGATIVE INFORMATION	
III.	REC	UIRIN	G EMPLOYER TO APOLOGIZE	18
IV.	INJU		VE RELIEF	
	A.	PRE	LIMINARY INJUNCTIONS	21
		1.	Likelihood of Success	21
		2.	Irreparable Harm	
	В.	INTE	ERIM INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES	
		1.	EEOC's Authority to Enjoin Employment Practices	24
	C.	INTE	ERIM ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES	24
	D.	INTE	ERIM RELIEF MAY ENJOIN FUTURE DISCIPLINE WITHOUT AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW	26
V.			EMENT OR PLACEMENT IN THE POSITION SOUGHT AND PRIORITY	
			RATION/PLACEMENT	
VI.				
	Α.		MMISSION GUIDANCE ON BACK PAY FROM MD-110	
	В.		IC CONCEPTS OF BACK PAY IN EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION CASES	
	C.		S OF OPPORTUNITY	
	D.		K PAY DURING PERIODS OF FORCED LEAVE	
	E.	LIMI	TATIONS ON BACK PAY	
		1.	Interim Earnings May Be Set Off Against an Award of Back Pay	
		2.	Commencing the Period for Back Pay	42
		3.	Commencing the Period for Back Pay in Disability Claims Alleging a Failure to Provide Reasonable Accommodation	43
		4.	Subsequent Promotions in an Award of Back Pay	43
		5.	Ending the Period for Back Pay	47
		6.	The Impact of After Acquired Evidence on Back Pay Awards	48
		7.	Where the Employer Subsequently Has RIFs or Layoffs	50
		8.	Back Pay When Employment Was a Term Appointment	50
		9.	Other Limitations on Back Pay	51
		10.	Subsequent Disabling Injury	54

		11.	Voluntary Resignation or Retirement	55
		12.	Other EEOC Decisions on Ending Back Pay Period	58
		13.	Effect of Bonuses or Other Discretionary Salary Increases	58
	F.	BACI	K PAY LIMITED TO TWO YEARS PRIOR TO ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT	59
		1.	Continuing Violations and Back Pay	59
		2.	Back Pay Awards in Continuing Violations Claims Generally Available Only in Hostile Work	60
	_		Environment Cases	
	G.		LTH INSURANCE AND RELATED BENEFITS ORITY BENEFITS	
	Н.		RTIME	
	l.		REST ON BACK PAY	
	J.			
	K.		ERMINING BACK PAY BY COMPARING EARNINGS OF OTHER EMPLOYEES	
	L.		ER BENEFITS OF EMPLOYMENT	
VII.			Y	
	Α.		WARD OF FRONT PAY DOES NOT REQUIRE EXPERT TESTIMONY	
	В.		REME COURT DECIDES THAT FRONT PAY IS NOT SUBJECT TO CAPS	
	C.		N FRONT PAY IS APPROPRIATE	
	D.		AL MEDIA AS EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT FRONT PAY CLAIM	
	E.		ATION OF FRONT PAY	
	F.		ER CONSIDERATIONS IN AWARDING FRONT PAY	
	G.		NT PAY MAY NOT BE APPROPRIATE WHERE THERE WAS A FAILURE TO MITIGATE DAMAGES	
	H.		TORS LIMITING OR CUTTING-OFF FRONT PAY	
VIII.	FRIN		NEFITS	
	A.		CULATING THE VALUE OF FRINGE BENEFITS	
	B.		LOYER MATCHING FOR PENSION AND 401(K) PLANS	
	C.		FT SAVINGS PLAN (TSP) BENEFITS	
	D.		VIVOR BENEFITS	
	E.		UAL AND OTHER LEAVE	
IX.	REIN		MENT	
	A.		N REINSTATEMENT IS NOT PRACTICAL	
	B.	REIN	STATEMENT NOT APPROPRIATE WHERE SAME ACTION WOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN	117
	C.		R-ACQUIRED EVIDENCE	
	D.	WHE	RE HOSTILITY MAKES EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP IMPOSSIBLE	119
	E.		RE INNOCENT EMPLOYEE WOULD BE DISPLACED	
	F.		STATEMENT DOES NOT PRECLUDE FRONT PAY	
	G.		JNNING THE SELECTION PROCESS	
	Н.	AWA	RDING THE POSITION WHERE COMPLAINANT NOT CONSIDERED FOR THE POSITION	124
	l.		URE TO MITIGATE DAMAGES DOES NOT PRECLUDE REINSTATEMENT	
Χ.	BUN			
	A.		C AUTHORITY TO ORDER BUMPING	
XI.	REIN	NSTATE	MENT MAY REQUIRE REPAYMENT OF ANY LUMP SUM PAYMENT FOR UNUSED ANNUAL LEAVE	E 128
XII.	UNI	ON DU	ES MAY BE DEDUCTED FROM AN AWARD OF BACK PAY	128
CHA	APTE	R 3:	THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1991 AND COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	.131
I.	OVE	RVIFW	OF THE 1991 ACT	131

	A. NO CLAIM FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES PRIOR TO 1991	132			
II.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGES ARE AVAILABLE IN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS	132			
	A. THE EEOC RULES IT HAS AUTHORITY TO ORDER DAMAGES	132			
	B. A DISPUTE WITHIN THE CIRCUITS	134			
	C. THE SUPREME COURT SETTLES THE DISPUTE	138			
III.	DAMAGE PROVISIONS OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1991 WERE NOT RETROACTIVE	141			
	A. WHERE UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT ACT CONTINUED AFTER ENACTMENT	142			
	B. ALLOCATING REMEDIES WHERE CONDUCT CONTINUED AFTER ENACTMENT	144			
IV.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGES DO NOT PUNISH BAD CONDUCT	144			
V.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGES NOT AVAILABLE IN CASES OF DISPARATE IMPACT	145			
VI.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGES NOT AVAILABLE WHEN THE EMPLOYER ACTED WITH LEGI AS WELL AS DISCRIMINATORY MOTIVES				
VII.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGES NOT AVAILABLE UNDER THE ADEA	148			
VIII.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGE AWARDS ARE LIMITED BY STATUTORY CAPS	149			
	A. DAMAGE CAPS APPLY TO EACH AGGRIEVED INDIVIDUAL	151			
IX.	TRIAL BY JURY	154			
X.	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND BIFURCATED PROCEEDINGS	155			
XI.	TYPES OF DAMAGES AVAILABLE	156			
XII.	PECUNIARY DAMAGES	156			
	A. PAST PECUNIARY DAMAGES	157			
	B. PAST PECUNIARY DAMAGES MUST BE PROVEN	160			
	C. FUTURE PECUNIARY DAMAGES	165			
XIII.	NONPECUNIARY DAMAGES				
	A. INCONVENIENCE	170			
	B. LOSS OF FUTURE EARNINGS CAPACITY	170			
	C. DAMAGE TO PROFESSIONAL REPUTATION	174			
	D. FUTURE EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	175			
	E. LOSS OF CONSORTIUM	176			
	F. LOSS OF OPPORTUNITY	177			
XIV.	INTEREST	178			
XV.	RAISING A CLAIM FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	179			
	A. WHEN A CLAIM FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES MUST BE RAISED BEFORE THE	EEOC179			
	B. WHERE A FEDERAL AGENCY ACTS TO DISMISS A CLAIM AS MOOT	181			
	C. IN CASES WHERE THERE IS NO HEARING	182			
	D. A CLAIM FOR DAMAGES MAY BE RAISED ON APPEAL FROM A FINAL AGENCY DE	CISION182			
	E. IN CASES WHERE AN EEOC HEARING IS HELD	183			
	F. ON APPEAL FROM THE MSPB	184			
XVI.	EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES	184			
	A. A FEDERAL COURT MAY BAR A CLAIM FOR DAMAGES IF NOT RAISED IN ADMINIST	ΓRATIVE FORUM 184			
XVII.	NOMINAL DAMAGES	187			
XVIII.	. PUNITIVE DAMAGES	190			
	NO COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FOR STRESS RELATED TO FILING A COMPLAINT				
CHA	APTER 4: PROVING DAMAGES	193			
ı	PROOF OF DAMAGES	103			

II.	NAT	URE, SEVERITY AND DURATION OF THE HARM	196
	A.	GARDEN VARIETY COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	196
	B.	EVIDENCE OF MORE SUBSTANTIAL DAMAGES	199
III.	CAU	JSATION	201
	A.	PROOF OF CAUSATION IN NONPECUNIARY DAMAGES	201
	B.	PROOF OF CAUSATION IN PECUNIARY DAMAGES	203
IV.	PRO	OF OF ACTUAL HARM OR INJURY	208
V.	PRO	OF OF LOSS OF EARNINGS	213
VI.	PRO	OF OF DAMAGES WITHOUT A HEARING	214
VII.	PRO	OF OF PECUNIARY DAMAGES	216
	A.	PROOF OF FUTURE PECUNIARY DAMAGES	222
VIII.	PRO	OF OF NONPECUNIARY DAMAGES	225
	A.	EMOTIONAL HARM CAN BE PROVEN FROM COMPLAINANT'S TESTIMONY ALONE	226
		1. The Plaintiff's Testimony as Evidence for Damages	227
		2. The Need for Corroborating Evidence to Substantiate Plaintiff's Testimony and Large Award	ds231
		3. The Sufficiency of the Evidence Provided	234
	B.	DOCUMENTING EVIDENCE OF NONPECUNIARY DAMAGES	236
	C.	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS OF EMOTIONAL HARM GENERALLY WILL SUPPORT ONLY	226
11/	CDE	MODEST AWARDS	
IX.		DIBILITY OF WITNESSES	
X.		EXPERT TESTIMONY IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVE DAMAGES	
	A.	USE OF EXPERT WITNESSES	
	В.	TYPES OF EXPERT WITNESSES	
	C. D.	EXPERT WITNESSES AND ECONOMIC HARM	
	D. E.	EXPERT TESTIMONY AND EMOTIONAL HARM	
	с. F.	TESTIMONY OF TREATING PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER GENERALLY	254
	г.	DOES NOT REQUIRE CERTIFICATION OR DISCLOSURE AS AN EXPERT	260
	G.	EXPERT TESTIMONY AND PHYSICAL INJURIES	
	Н.	OTHER USE OF EXPERTS	
	l.	EXPERT REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
XI.	••	PLOYER'S USE OF REBUTTAL WITNESSES	
XII.		ORD MAY NOT BE SUPPLEMENTED ON APPEAL	
		R 5: MITIGATION AND OFFSET	
l.		SETS AND THE DUTY TO MITIGATE DAMAGES	
1.	Α.	BACK PAY MAY BE CALCULATED USING A PERIODIC RATHER THAN AN AGGREGATE COMPARISO	
	Λ.	OF EARNINGS WHERE THERE WAS A FAILURE TO MITIGATE DURING LIMITED PERIODS OF TIME.	
	В.	REASONABLE DILIGENCE IN SEEKING OTHER EMPLOYMENT	275
	C.	SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT EMPLOYMENT	276
		1. Unconditional Offer of Reemployment May End Right to Back Pay	277
		An Unconditional Offer of Reinstatement Must Include Reasonable Accommodation, if Needed	281
		3. An Unconditional Offer of a Position Intended to Cut Off Back Pay Must Be in the Same	
		Geographic Location	
		4. Failure to Mitigate Damages Does Not, in Itself, Bar Reinstatement	284

		5. Extended Leave of Absence Without Pay May Signal Unavailability for Work	285
	D.	DUTY TO MITIGATE EXTENDS TO MAINTAINING FUTURE EMPLOYMENT	285
	E.	CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT AFTER DENIAL OF A PROMOTION; CONSTRUCTIVE DISCHARGE	288
	F.	MITIGATING DAMAGES IN CLAIMS OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT	292
	G.	REASONABLE DILIGENCE MUST BE IN LIGHT OF THE PARTICULAR FACTS OF A CASE	293
		1. No Failure to Mitigate Where Plaintiff Quit Subsequent Employment Following	
		a Sexual Assault	293
		2. No Failure to Mitigate Where Inability to Work Resulted From Medical Impairment Causally	
		Related to Employer's Discriminatory Act	
	Н.	THE DUTY TO MITIGATE REQUIRES A SUSTAINED EFFORT TO FIND WORK	
	l.	PURSUING EDUCATION MAY EFFECTIVELY REMOVE AN INDIVIDUAL FROM THE WORKFORCE	
		1. Cases Where Pursuing Education Ended the Period for Back Pay	
		2. Cases Where Pursuing Education Did Not End the Period for Back Pay	
	J.	SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT EMPLOYMENT	301
II.		SONABLE DILIGENCE IN SEEKING ALTERNATIVE EMPLOYMENT MAY INCLUDE CONSIDERATION THE ATTRIBUTES OF THE PLAINTIFF	306
	A.	REASONABLE DILIGENCE	307
	B.	REASONABLE DILIGENCE AND SELF-EMPLOYMENT	310
	C.	INABILITY TO WORK BECAUSE OF DISABILITY	312
	D.	WHERE VICTIM OF DISCRIMINATION BEGINS COLLECTING RETIREMENT BENEFITS AFTER	
		DISCHARGE	314
	E.	DUE DILIGENCE IN SEEKING EMPLOYMENT DOES NOT REQUIRE SEEKING WORK IN A DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHIC AREA FROM IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS	. 315
III.	BUR	DEN IS ON EMPLOYER TO SHOW FAILURE TO MITIGATE	
	A.	BURDEN SHIFTS WHERE EMPLOYEE MAKES NO EFFORT TO FIND OTHER EMPLOYMENT	
	В.	EMPLOYEE'S BURDEN LOWERED WHERE WORK IN THE SAME FIELD IS NOT AVAILABLE BECAUSE	
	_	OF EMPLOYER'S MISCONDUCT	
	C.	DOCUMENTING EFFORTS TO MITIGATE DAMAGES	
IV.		URE TO MITIGATE MAY BAR FRONT PAY	
V.		GATION AND OTHER DAMAGES	
VI.			
	Α.	EEOC HOLDS NO DUTY TO MITIGATE NONPECUNIARY DAMAGES	325
	B.	FEDERAL COURTS HAVE GENERALLY FOUND NO DUTY TO MITIGATE NONPECUNIARY COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	326
	C.	DUTY TO MITIGATE OTHER PECUNIARY DAMAGES	
CH/	APTE	R 6: OTHER FACTORS IMPACTING CALCULATIONS OF REMEDIES	
l.		LATERAL SOURCE RULE	
	A.	UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION	335
	B.	WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS	
	C.	PUBLIC ASSISTANCE BENEFITS	
	D.	DISABILITY INSURANCE BENEFITS	
	E.	VETERAN'S AND SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS	
	F.	PENSION BENEFITS	
	G.	HEALTH INSURANCE BENEFITS	
II.	THE	EGGSHELL PLAINTIFF	

III.	THE	EFFECT OF PREEXISTING INJURIES ON A CLAIM FOR DAMAGES	347
	A.	WHERE PREEXISTING CONDITION WOULD HAVE WORSENED	351
	B.	ALLOCATING CAUSATION	355
	C.	MULTIPLE CAUSES OF EMOTIONAL HARM	360
IV.	TAX	CONSEQUENCES OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES	363
	A.	COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AWARDS FOR EMOTIONAL INJURIES ARE GENERALLY TAXABLE INCOME	363
	В.	TRENDING: STATE AND LOCAL ENTITIES EXEMPTING COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FROM TAXABLE INCOME: THE CIVIL RIGHTS TAX RELIEF ACT	364
	C.	TAXATION OF ATTORNEY FEES	364
	D.	TAX WITHHOLDING OF DAMAGE AWARDS	364
	E.	ENHANCEMENT OF DAMAGES TO ADJUST FOR TAXES: TAX GROSS-UP	365
	F.	TAX GROSS-UP UNDER THE FMLA	370
	G.	COMPENSATION TO OFFSET THE EFFECT OF A LUMP SUM PAYMENT OF BACK PAY, NOT SUBJECT TO THE STATUTORY CAPS	
	Н.	ADDITIONAL AWARD TO COMPENSATE FOR TAX CONSEQUENCE OF NONPECUNIARY DAMAGES.	373
V.		KING REVIEW OF DAMAGE AWARDS IN FEDERAL COURT AFTER A FAVORABLE DECISION 'HE EEOC	373
VI.	SEEŁ	KING ENFORCEMENT OF EEOC DAMAGE AWARDS IN FEDERAL COURT	376
VII.	A NO	OTE ABOUT TIMELINESS	378
VIII.	EFFE	ECT OF FAILING TO DISCLOSE EXISTENCE OF COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION IN BANKRUPTCY	
CHA	PTE	R 7: CONSIDERATIONS IN APPROACHING SETTLEMENT	383
l.	A FII	NDING OF DISCRIMINATION IS NOT REQUIRED TO SETTLE A COMPLAINT	384
II.	THE	RE MUST BE A MEETING OF THE MINDS	384
III.	ENF	ORCEABLE AND UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS UNDER SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS	386
IV.	PAR	TIES MUST BE AWARE OF AVAILABLE RELIEF	388
V.	SET	TLEMENT AGREEMENTS MUST STATE THE PARTIES' AGREED UPON TERMS WITH PARTICULARITY	388
VI.	AGE	NCIES SHOULD CONSIDER THE OVERALL COST TO THE GOVERNMENT	389
VII.	NO I	PERSONNEL ACTION IS REQUIRED FOR SETTLEMENT	390
VIII.	CON	IFIDENTIALITY CLAUSES	391
IX.	LUM	IP SUM SETTLEMENTS	392
X.	A G	DOD FAITH RESPONSE IS REQUIRED TO DAMAGE INQUIRIES DURING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS	393
XI.	OLD	PER WORKERS BENEFIT PROTECTION ACT	395
XII.	WHE	ERE RETIREMENT BENEFITS ARE INVOLVED	401
XIII.	ENF	ORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS	402
XIV.	CON	MPENSATORY DAMAGES ARE NOT AVAILABLE FOR BREACH OF A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	403
XV.	ATT	ORNEY FEES AVAILABLE FOR SUCCESSFUL PETITION TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	403
XVI.	INTE	REST ON DELAYED PAYMENT OF MONETARY BENEFITS AFTER SETTLEMENT	403
XVII.	OFF	ERS OF RESOLUTION	404
XVIII	. SAN	IPLE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL	405
CHA		R 8: REMEDIES UNDER OTHER STATUTES	
l.	THE	EQUAL PAY ACT	411
	Α.	COMMISSION AWARDS OF LIQUIDATED DAMAGES IN EQUAL PAY ACT CASES	413

II.	DAN	MAGES UNDER THE ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973	414
	A.	AGENCIES ARE LIABLE FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES WHEN THEY FAIL TO MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION	415
	В.	ENTITLEMENT TO DAMAGES UNDER THE ADA AND REHABILITATION ACT RESULTING FROM UNLAWFUL PREEMPLOYMENT MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS AND INQUIRIES	
	C.	IMPROPER MEDICAL DISCLOSURES MAY WARRANT REMEDIES IN CLASS ACTION VIOLATIONS	419
III.		MILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT	
IV.	DAN	MAGES ARE NOT AVAILABLE UNDER THE ADEA	420
	A.	BACK PAY AWARDS UNDER THE ADEA ARE MANDATORY	423
V.		MAGES UNDER THE WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION ACTACT	
VI.	THE	GENETIC INFORMATION NONDISCRIMINATION ACT	423
CH	APTE	R 9: MANAGING DISCOVERY ABOUT DAMAGES	425
l.	DIS	COVERY BY THE EMPLOYEE	425
II.	DIS	COVERY BY THE EMPLOYER	425
	A.	DISCOVERY REGARDING EFFORTS TO MITIGATE BACK PAY	427
	B.	DISCOVERY REGARDING SOURCES OF INCOME SUBJECT TO THE COLLATERAL SOURCE RULE	
		1. Evidence Regarding the Source of Other Income	
		2. Evidence Regarding the Amounts of Other Income from Other Sources	
	C.	DISCOVERY REGARDING EMOTIONAL HARM	
	D.	OTHER AREAS OF DISCOVERY	430
	E.	COMPLAINANTS MAY PREVENT DISCLOSURE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION BY LIMITING A CLAIM FOR DAMAGES TO "GARDEN VARIETY" DAMAGES	431
III.	PSY	CHOTHERAPIST-PATIENT PRIVILEGE	
IV.	BIFU	JRCATED DISCOVERY	434
V.	PRC	DTECTIVE ORDERS	435
VI.	MED	DICAL EXAMINATIONS	437
	A.	SOURCES OF AUTHORITY FOR CONDUCTING MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS	
		Medical Examinations Are Authorized by EEOC MD-110	437
		2. Medical Examination Under Rule 35 of Federal Rules	
		3. Guidance on Medical Examinations in AJ Handbook	
	В.	WHEN MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS ARE APPROPRIATE	
	C.	MEDICAL EXAMINATION GRANTED	
	D.	MEDICAL EXAMINATION DENIED	
	E.	WHO MAY ATTEND THE MEDICAL EXAMINATION	
	F.	OTHER MATTERS RELATED TO MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS	
VII.		ERE EVIDENCE OF DAMAGES IS NOT REVEALED THROUGH DISCOVERY	
CH	APTE	R 10: CALCULATING AN AWARD OF DAMAGES	453
l.	DET	ERMINING AN APPROPRIATE AWARD	453
	A.	COUNSEL CAN INFLUENCE AN AWARD OF DAMAGES	454
	В.	AWARDS OF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES SHOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH AWARDS	4
		IN OTHER CASES EVIDENCING SIMILAR HARM	
		1. Awards of Compensatory Damages Should Not Be Motivated by Passion or Prejudice	
		Where Cases Evidencing Similar Harm Are Limited Or Non-Existent	
		Disability Cases Where Harm Suffered Is an Exacerbation of the Underlying Disability	4วก

	C.	INITIAL EEOC DAMAGI	E AWARDS WERE LIMITED	459
	D.	COMMISSION RULES S	SET NO FORMULA FOR CALCULATING DAMAGES	465
	E.	FACTORS AFFECTING	AN AWARD OF DAMAGES	465
	F.	MULTIPLE CAUSES OF	EMOTIONAL HARM	469
	G.	POST JUDGMENT INTE	EREST	470
II.	APP	ELLATE REVIEW OF DAM	MAGE AWARDS	470
	A.		D OF DAMAGES MAY BE REDUCED TO THE MAXIMUM WARRANTED	473
	B.	APPEALING AN AWAR	D OF DAMAGES IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE FORUM TO THE EEOC	475
	C.	CREDIBILITY DETERMI	NATIONS AND DAMAGES	476
	D.	BURDEN IS ON THE PA	RTY SEEKING TO MODIFY DAMAGES TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE	478
	E.	IN GENERAL, ADDITIO	NAL EVIDENCE MAY NOT BE ADDED ON APPEAL	479
	F.		ATORY DAMAGES MAY PRECLUDE DISMISSAL OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE	479
	G.	EEOC IMPOSES NO RE	QUIREMENT TO REPAY AWARD OF DAMAGES PENDING APPEAL	480
	Н.	OPTIONS WHEN AN AG	GENCY FAILS TO IMPLEMENT RELIEF ORDERED BY THE EEOC	480
CH	APTE	R 11: ATTORNEY FEI	ES AND COSTS	481
l.	ATT	ORNEY FEES ARE NOT RE	ECOVERABLE FOR ADEA ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS	487
II.			Y NOT RECOVER ATTORNEY FEES	
III.			<u>-</u> S	
	Α.		HE BURDEN TO ESTABLISH ENTITLEMENT TO ATTORNEY FEES	
	В.		GENERALLY NOT AVAILABLE FOR TIME SPENT PRIOR TO FILING	
			T WHERE AN AGENCY SUBSEQUENTLY FILES AN APPEAL	489
	C.	FEES ARE AWARDED B	ASED ON THE NUMBER OF HOURS REASONABLY EXPENDED	492
		1. Time Spent on FO	OIA Requests May Be Hours Reasonably Expended	496
		2. Time Spent Atter	nding EEO Investigations May Be Reasonably Expended	497
		3. Time Spent on Re	elated Matters	497
		•	cal Tasks May Be Recovered Where Clerical Tasks Are an Integral Part Work	498
		5. Time Spent Revie	ewing The Record Is Compensable	499
		6. Filing an Unsucce	essful Request to Reconsider Is Not Reasonable Expenditure of Time d of Attorney Fees	
			ay Be Awarded Where the Matter Must Be Retried	
		8. It May Be a Reaso	onable Expenditure of Attorney Time for Complainant's/Plaintiff's Counsel Hours Prosecuting a Claim Than for Defense Counsel to Defend the Claim	
		•	ettlement Negotiations Is Generally Presumed to Be Reasonable	
	D.	•	RRANGEMENTS SHOULD NOT IMPACT FEE SHIFTING	
	E.		ON IS APPROPRIATE	
			Reduced Where There Is Duplication of Work	
		•	Reduced Where Employee Prevails on Fewer Than All Claims Raised	
		•	ay Be Denied if Relief Is Insubstantial	
		•	ay Be Denied or Reduced Where Fee Petition Lacks Specificity	
	F.		/ RATE	
	G.		ND THE NEW FITZPATRICK MATRIX FOR WASHINGTON, D.C.	
		PRACTITIONERS		524

		1.	Agency Has Burden of Proof to Argue That Complainant's Retention of Out-Of-Area Counsel Was Unreasonable	526
		2.	Complainant Can Claim Fees at Current Rather Than Historical Rates	
		3.	Attorney Firm Overhead and the Hourly Rate	
	H.		ORNEY FEES AVAILABLE WHEN ATTORNEY MUST PERFORM ADDITIONAL WORK DUE	
			AGENCY'S ACTIONS	
	l.		ORNEY FEES AVAILABLE FOR PREPARING AND DEFENDING A PETITION FOR ATTORNEY F	
	J.		FOR USE OF PARALEGALS AND LAW CLERKS	
	K.	WHI	ERE ATTORNEYS PERFORM WORK GENERALLY DONE BY PARALEGALS	537
	L.		ORNEY TRAVEL TIME	
	M.		ORNEY FEES AWARDS MAY BE ENHANCED	
IV.	COM		NG COSTS	
	A.		NESS FEES	
	В.		VEL AND MEAL EXPENSES	
	C.		EPHONE EXPENSES	
	D.	REV	ENUE TAXES	544
	E.		EARCH COSTS	
	F.	PRIN	ITING AND SCANNING COSTS	545
	G.	CON	ISULTANTS	546
V.			ON ATTORNEY FEES	
VI.			Y FEES AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS	
VII.			FOR COMPLAINANT HAS NO INDIVIDUAL RIGHT TO APPEAL AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY F	EES 551
VIII.			NANTS CAN FILE CIVIL ACTIONS AFTER PREVAILING BEFORE THE EEOC SOLELY SUE OF ATTORNEY FEES	551
IX.			A CIVIL ACTION CEASES PROCESSING OF ANY CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY FEES	554
X.			OF ATTORNEY FEES CAN BE DEDUCTED ON COMPLAINANT'S TAX RETURNS	
XI.			E REVIEW OF AWARDS OF LEGAL FEES	
CH <i>F</i>	APTE	R 12:	A SUMMARY OF SELECTED COMMISSION DAMAGE AWARDS	555
l.			JP TO \$10,000	
 II.			OF \$10,001 TO \$25,000	
 III.			OF \$25,001 TO \$50,000	
IV.			OF \$50,001 TO \$100,000	
V.			N EXCESS OF \$100,000	
CHA	APTE	R 13:	A HISTORICAL CHART OF SIGNIFICANT EEOC NONPECUNIARY	
			DAMAGE AWARDS	607
APP	END	IX:	TABLE OF CONTENTS	651
APP	END	IX A:	EEOC FEDERAL SECTOR REGULATIONS ON REMEDIES—29 CFR PART 161	4653
APP	END	IX B:	SECTION 102 OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1991 (42 USC 1981A)	661
APP	END	IX C:	COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES AVAILABLE UNDER SECTION	

APPENDIX D:	EEOC REGIONAL ATTORNEYS' MANUAL, 2005, PART 2,II.D.—	
	NONPECUNIARY COMPENSATORY DAMAGES: ISSUES FOR REVIEW	
	WITH CLAIMANTS PRIOR TO FILING SUIT6	75
APPENDIX E:	EXECUTIVE ORDER 14003 OF JANUARY 22, 2021, PROTECTING	
	THE FEDERAL WORKPLACE6	83
APPENDIX F:	THE FITZPATRICK MATRIX6	87
APPENDIX G:	DECLARATION OF BRIAN T. FITZPATRICK6	91
TABLE OF CA	SES6	99
INDEX	7	33